

# A new platform for validating real-time, large-scale WAMPAC systems

Steven Blair University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK



# Overview

- Industry position and challenges
  - Renewable energy trends
  - Reduced system inertia
- Using GTFPGA for 64 PMUs
- Hardware and software design
- Monitoring interface and automation
- Reporting latency analysis
- Next steps





![](_page_1_Picture_11.jpeg)

# UK energy situation

### Trend of reduced conventional synchronous generation

![](_page_2_Picture_2.jpeg)

Follow

 $\sim$ 

National Grid can confirm that for the past 24 hours, it has supplied GB's electricity demand without the need for #coal generation.

![](_page_2_Figure_5.jpeg)

🖓 63 🗘 1.9К ♡ 1.8К

![](_page_2_Picture_7.jpeg)

Follow

#### 56% low carbon and no coal generation.

![](_page_2_Picture_10.jpeg)

BSO Control R
 @NGControlRoom

 $\bigcirc$ 

174

04

March 2019

33% wind contribution –

common throughout March

ESO Control Room 🤣

Follow

Strathclyde Engineering

Yesterday **#wind** generated 32.6% of GB electricity, more than gas 24.5%, nuclear 17.9%, imports 9.9%, biomass 5.7%, solar 5.6%, hydro 3.3%, coal 0.3%, other 0.2% \*excl. non-renewable distributed generation

![](_page_2_Figure_15.jpeg)

2018

April 2017 First no-coal day since Industrial Revolution **April 2018** Three consecutive no-coal days

### Actually approaching our target...

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

Strathclyde Engineering

https://www.mygridgb.co.uk/dashboard/

### **UK: reducing system inertia**

![](_page_4_Picture_1.jpeg)

From National Grid System Operability Framework 2016

### Large-scale PMU testbed

- RTDS GTFPGA
- 16x Raspberry Pis
- Strathclyde PMU algorithm
  - Adaptive filter window

- 64 PMUs in real-time
- Dynamically change reporting rate, M or P class

![](_page_5_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Hardware design

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Hardware design

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

| ARM processor and OS choice |  |  |                          |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|--|--|
|                             |  |  |                          |  |  |
| Hardware                    | Raspberry Pi 3 B+  | Raspberry Pi 3 B+  | Odroid C2                |  |  |
| <b>Operating System</b>     | Raspbian Stretch Lite  | DietPi   | DietPi                   |  |  |
| CPU                         | Quad-core Cortex-<br>A53   | Quad-core Cortex-<br>A53   | Quad-core Cortex-<br>A53 |  |  |
| Maximum CPU clock           | 1.4 GHz  | 1.4 GHz  | 1.5 GHz                  |  |  |
| Memory                      | 1 GB   | 1 GB   | 2 GB                     |  |  |
| Ethernet                    | Gigabit, but<br>implemented over<br>half-duplex USB<br>interface | Gigabit, but<br>implemented over<br>half-duplex USB<br>interface | Gigabit                  |  |  |

# Software design

- Using 4800 Hz sampling rate for SV data
  - Up to 24 values => 4x three-phase voltage and current (per Ethernet frame)
  - Two ASDUs (samples) per frame (so 2400 packets/s)
- One PMU algorithm running per ARM core
  - 64 cores => 64 PMUs
  - Using "rapid61850" library to decode SV
  - Using OpenMP to execute parallel code sections
- Use of VLANs to precisely control all SV and C37.118.2 data flows
- Python scripts to automate Pi configuration

![](_page_9_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **PMU algorithm choice and performance**

- Highly resilient to actual grid disturbances
- 0.01% typical worst-case total vector error (TVE)
- Suited to distribution system applications
- Efficient computational performance
- M-class and P-class versions

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

Accurate PMU response

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

# **Worst-Case M-class PMU Performance**

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

| Test                | TVE (%)     | ~100x better than IEEE (Hz/s) |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Frequency Range     | 0.007-0.012 | standard requirements 66      |
| Harmonic Distortion | 0.005-0.013 | 0.000092 0.0036               |
| Phase Modulation    | 0.25        | 0.0086 0.34                   |
| Frequency Ramp      | 0.12-0.13   | 0.000193 0.0055               |

| Test               | Response Time<br>(ms) | Delay Time<br>(ms) | Max over- or<br>under-shoot (%) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| +ve Magnitude Step | 50.5                  | 0.758              | 1.11%                           |
| +ve Phase Step     | 66.5                  | -2.5               | 0.68%                           |

### **Monitoring interface**

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

| <ul> <li>1</li> <li>192.168.2.51</li> <li>50.00 Hz</li> <li>399.4 kV</li> <li>-88.7 °</li> <li>371 A</li> <li>-86.5 °</li> <li>-0.000 Hz/s</li> <li>M class</li> <li>4.8 kHz</li> <li>100 Hz reports</li> <li>25.1.1:4713</li> <li>75.414 / 78.777 ms</li> <li>26%</li> <li>54°C</li> <li>1.40 GHz</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>2</li> <li>50.00 Hz</li> <li>399.4 kV</li> <li>-89.3 °</li> <li>143 A</li> <li>-91.5 °</li> <li>-0.000 Hz/s</li> <li>M class</li> <li>4.8 kHz</li> <li>100 Hz reports</li> <li>25.1.11:4714</li> <li>75.269 / 78.788 ms</li> <li>26%</li> <li>54°C</li> <li>1.40 GHz</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>3</li> <li>50.00 Hz</li> <li>399.4 kV</li> <li>-89.0 °</li> <li>71 A</li> <li>-116.3 °</li> <li>-0.000 Hz/s</li> <li>M class</li> <li>4.8 kHz</li> <li>100 Hz reports</li> <li>25.1.1.1:4715</li> <li>74.839 / 78.777 ms</li> <li>26%</li> <li>54°C</li> <li>1.40 GHz</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>4</li> <li>50.00 Hz</li> <li>399.4 kV</li> <li>-89.1 °</li> <li>576 A</li> <li>-90.6 °</li> <li>-0.000 Hz/s</li> <li>M class</li> <li>4.8 kHz</li> <li>100 Hz reports</li> <li>25.1.11:4716</li> <li>74.545 / 78.772 ms</li> <li>26%</li> <li>54°C</li> <li>1.40 GHz</li> </ul> |
|---|--|---|--|
| <ul> <li>5</li> <li>50.00 Hz</li> <li>400.1 kV</li> <li>-89.1 °</li> <li>66 A</li> <li>100.0 °</li> <li>0.000 Hz/s</li> <li>M class</li> <li>4.8 kHz</li> <li>100 Hz reports</li> <li>225.1.1.2:4713</li> <li>73.235 / 77.453 ms</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>6</li> <li>50.00 Hz</li> <li>399.5 kV</li> <li>-89.3 °</li> <li>280 A</li> <li>101.7 °</li> <li>0.000 Hz/s</li> <li>M class</li> <li>4.8 kHz</li> <li>100 Hz reports</li> <li>25.1.1.2:4714</li> <li>73.61 / 77.329 ms</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>7</li> <li>50.00 Hz</li> <li>399.5 kV</li> <li>-88.9 °</li> <li>144 A</li> <li>-90.5 °</li> <li>0.000 Hz/s</li> <li>M class</li> <li>4.8 kHz</li> <li>100 Hz reports</li> <li>225.11.2:4715</li> <li>74.122 / 77.466 ms</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>8</li> <li>50.00 Hz</li> <li>400.1 kV</li> <li>-88.9 °</li> <li>130 A</li> <li>-82.0 °</li> <li>0.000 Hz/s</li> <li>M class</li> <li>4.8 kHz</li> <li>100 Hz reports</li> <li>25.1.1.2:4716</li> <li>73.544 / 77.492 ms</li> </ul>  |

. .

. .

. .

### Load shedding scenario – frequency change

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Load shedding scenario – voltage angle University of Strathclyde Engineering (b) 49.957 Hz, 56°C, 11%, 0 ms 18:21:16 18:21:17 18:21:21 18:21:22 18:21:23 :21:15 18:21:18 18:21:19 18:21:20 18:21 192.168.2.51 192.168.2.51 192.168.2.51 192.168.2.51 49.43 Hz 49.43 Hz 49.43 Hz 49.43 Hz 50.00 10.8 kV 10.8 kV -8.2 10.9 kV -9.5 -7.8 10.8 kV -8.2 400.0 -49.7 113.9 -94.5 113.9 **86** A 🔍 324 A **79** A **79** A 2309 0.024 Hz/s 0.026 Hz/s 0.025 Hz/s 0.026 Hz/s -0.000 100 Hz reports 225.1.1.1:4713 4.8 ki P class 4.8 kHz P class 4.8 kHz 100 Hz reports 225.1.1.1:4714 P class 4.8 kHz 100 Hz reports 225.1.1.1:4715 P class 100 Hz reports 225.1.1.1:4716 P class 4.8 kHz 12% 12% 12% 😔 1.40 GHz 😔 1.40 GHz 12% $\diamond$ 1.40 GHz $\diamond$ 1.40 GHz

### **Accurate measurement PMU reporting latency**

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

https://github.com/stevenblair/pmu-latency-measure

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Reporting latency analysis

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Reporting latency analysis**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

200

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

### How long does a packet take from the UK to the USA?

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Linking two laboratories

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Nokia Plano, Texas, US

### Dynamic Power Systems Laboratory

Strathclyde Glasgow, UK

### Wide-area control testbed

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### RTDS Simulation – Great Britain System Model

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Frequency control demo Pre-recorded

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

### At 5x speed

### Next steps

- Further performance profiling of ARM devices
- Data analytics using large-scale PMU data
- Wide-area protection and control applications
- Recreate communications delays
- Integrate SV data compression

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **More information**

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Contact:
  - steven.m.blair@strath.ac.uk
  - <u>http://personal.strath.ac.uk/steven.m.blair/</u>
  - <u>https://github.com/stevenblair</u>
- Related publications:
  - Measurement and Analysis of PMU Reporting Latency for Smart Grid Protection and Control Applications, <u>https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/67203/</u>
  - Real-time compression of IEC 61869-9 sampled value data, <u>http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/57710/</u>
  - Modelling and Analysis of Asymmetrical Latency in Packet-Based Networks for Current Differential Protection Application, <u>http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/61418/</u>
  - Automatically Detecting and Correcting Errors in Power Quality Monitoring Data, http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/57466/
  - An Open Platform for Rapid-Prototyping Protection and Control Schemes with IEC 61850, <u>http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/43427/</u>
  - A Practical and Open Source Implementation of IEC 61850-7-2 for IED Monitoring Applications, <u>http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/50860/</u>
  - Enabling efficient engineering processes and automated analysis for power protection systems, <u>http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/54545/</u>
  - Validating secure and reliable IP/MPLS communications for current differential protection, <u>http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/55961/</u>
  - Demonstration and analysis of IP/MPLS communications for delivering power system protection solutions using IEEE C37.94, IEC 61850 Sampled Values, and IEC 61850 GOOSE protocols, <a href="http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/48971/">http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/48971/</a>