

Dynamic Equivalancing for RTDS

Hiranya Suriyaarachchi TransGrid Solutions Inc. Canada

Outline



- Introduction
- Network Reduction
- Applications
- Some results
- Summary

Introduction



- With the addition of HVDCs and FACTS devices today's power systems have become complex
- Dynamics of these devices are dominated by the controls
- Interactions between the devices need to be studied
- Need to accurately model the system
 - Control hardware replicas are used

Introduction



• Only a limited number of RTDS racks are available



- Able to fit
- Accuracy
- As detailed as possible

AC Network Reduction





AC Network Model



- Static equivalents
 - Good steady state performance
- Co-simulation
- Dynamic equivalents
 - Good steady state performance
 - Good dynamic performance at selected buses

Network Reduction in PSS/E



- The Full network model is given in PSS/E
- Step 1
 - Identify the area to be retained in detail
 - Aggregate multiple generators on the same plant to a single generator
 - Aggregate the coherent generators together
- Step 2:
 - Create a short-circuit equivalent of the area out side the area to be kept
 - Verify the power flow
- Step 3:
 - Dynamic response of the voltage and the frequency at the device connection point is matched with the full network
 - The parameters for the equivalent generators at the boundary busses will be tuned

Applications



- Dynamic Performance Testing (DPT)
- Integrated AC System Models
 - One or multiple control replicas may be used
 - AC system studies
 - Interaction studies
 - Operator training

Example1: An HVDC Interconnection Project



- Two isolated AC systems are connected via a HVDC transmission System
- AC network at the two terminals were needed to be reduced to fit in to the RTDS resources available:
- Challenges:
 - Large number of generators connected very close to both the terminals
 - Only three racks (using GPC) cards was available (2 racks for the HVDC stations and 1 rack for the AC system)
 - Space available to model only 9 generators, and maximum 24 buses

Procedure and Results



- A Reduced network was created in PSS/E
 - The largest error in fault level at the HVDC terminals was 0.14% and X/R was 0.5%
 - The largest error in the voltage magnitude and angles of the reduced network buses was 0.73% and 0.98%, respectively
- After validating the dynamic performance, RSCAD PSS/E conversion tool was used to create the RTDS network.

Response for Three-phase to Ground Fault at System-A (Rectifier)



• Case1: Power Transfer System-A -> System-B



11

RTDS User Group Meeting 2018 Genk, Belgium

Response for Three-phase to Ground Fault at System-B (Rectifier)



Case 2: Power Transfer System-B -> System-A



October 10-11, 2018 ©TransGrid Solutions Inc., 2018

12

Response for Three-phase to Ground Fault at System-B (Inverter)



• 0 MW Power Transfer with Case 1 network configuration



RTDS User Group Meeting 2018 Genk, Belgium 13 October 10-11, 2018 ©TransGrid Solutions Inc., 2018

Example 2: AC system Model of a Large Power System



- A large Power System to be modelled
 - Number of buses needed to be reduced by about 90%
 - Around 30 HVDC FACTS terminals was needed to be modelled
 - The steady-state and dynamic responses at all the terminals needed to be matched with the full system

Response for Three-phase to Ground Fault at HVDC1 Terminal 1





Response for Three-phase to Ground Fault at SVC 2 Terminal





Summary



- The need to model a large power system in RTDS is increasing because of the presence of non conventional devices
- It's a challenge to create a small AC system which can fit into the available RTDS
 - Need to match the steady-state performance
 - Need to match the dynamic response
- Dynamic equivalents can address both these requirements successfully



Thank you!

TransGrid Solutions Inc 100 - 78 Innovation Dr, Winnipeg, Manitoba CANADA, R3T 6C2

Phone:(204) 989 4850Fax:(204) 989 4858

www.transgridsolutions.com

info@transgridsolutions.com

